

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Chromatography B, 788 (2003) 147–158

**JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY B** 

www.elsevier.com/locate/chromb

# Rapid and sensitive high-performance liquid chromatographic determination of four cephalosporin antibiotics in pharmaceuticals and body fluids

V.F. Samanidou, E.A. Hapeshi, I.N. Papadoyannis\*

*Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry*, *Department of Chemistry*, *Aristotle University of Thessaloniki*, *GR*-<sup>54124</sup> *Thessaloniki*, *Greece*

Received 17 May 2002; received in revised form 17 December 2002; accepted 19 December 2002

# **Abstract**

A rapid, accurate and sensitive method has been developed and validated for the quantitative simultaneous determination of four cephalosporins, cephalexin and cefadroxil (first-generation), cefaclor (second-generation) and cefataxim (thirdgeneration), in pharmaceuticals as well as in human blood serum and urine. A Spherisorb ODS-2  $250\times4$ -mm, 5- $\mu$ m analytical column was used with an eluting system consisting of a mixture of acetate buffer (pH 4.0)–CH<sub>3</sub>OH 78–22% (v/v) at a flow-rate 1.2 ml/min. Detection was performed with a variable wavelength UV–Vis detector at 265 nm resulting in limit of detection of 0.2 ng for cefadroxil and cephalexin, but only 0.1 ng for cefotaxime and cefaclor per  $20-\mu l$  injection. Hydrochlorothiazide (HCT) (6-chloro-3,4-dihydro-7 sulfanyl-2H-1,2,4-benzothiadiazine-1-1-dioxide) was used as internal standard at a concentration of 2 ng/ $\mu$ l. A rectilinear relationship was observed up to 8, 5, 12 and 35 ng/ $\mu$ l for cefadroxil, cefotaxime, cefaclor, cephalexin, respectively. Analysis time was less than 7 min. The statistical evaluation of the method was examined by means of within-day repeatability  $(n=8)$  and day-to-day precision  $(n=9)$  and was found to be satisfactory with high accuracy and precision. The method was applied to the determination of the cephalosporins in commercial pharmaceuticals and in biological fluids: human blood serum after solid-phase extraction and urine simply after filtration and dilution. Recovery of analytes in spiked samples was in the range from 76.3 to 112.0%, over the range of  $1-8$  ng/ $\mu$ l. 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords*: Cephalosporins; Cefadroxil; Cefaclor; Cefotaxime; Cephalexin

same fundamental structural requirements as penicil-<br>are the most prescribed of all antibiotics [1]. lin. They are used for the treatment of infections Cephalosporin C was first isolated in 1948 from caused by Gram-positive and Gram-negative bac- the fungus *Cephalosporium acremonium*. Its chemiteria. They act by inhibiting the synthesis of essential cal modification allowed production of a whole

**1. Introduction** structural components of bacterial cell wall. They are among the safest and the most effective broad-spec-Cephalosporins are b-lactam antibiotics with the trum bactericidal antimicrobial agents; therefore they

series of semisynthetic cephalosporins used as thera-<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +30-310-997-793; fax: +30-310-<br><sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +30-310-997-793; fax: +30-310-*E*-*mail address*: [papadoya@chem.auth.gr](mailto:papadoya@chem.auth.gr) (I.N. Papadoyannis). naturally, the remaining semi-synthetic cephalospor-

<sup>997-719.</sup> lin resistant. As only cephalosporin C is found

<sup>1570-0232/03/\$ –</sup> see front matter  $\circ$  2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/S1570-0232(02)01040-1

ins are derived from 7-amino-cephalosporanic acid, a product obtained from cephalosporin C hydrolysis [2].

Their composition is accomplished by  $\beta$ -lactam ring fusion with a di-hydrothiazine ring differing in the nature of the substituents attached at the 3 and/or 7-positions of the cephem ring. The substitution at the 3-position affects the pharmacokinetic properties, while the substitution at the 7-position affects the antibacterial spectrum of the cephalosporins.

Cephalosporin antibiotics are divided into four generations: first, second, third and recently fourthgeneration compounds. Classification is according to the extent of their effect against Gram-negative activity. Third-generation cephalosporins are characterized by a broader antibacterial spectrum in comparison with the first- and second-generation agents as they are resistant to  $\beta$ -lactamases. The most recent fourth-generation includes molecules with a spectrum similar to the third, but with markedly increased stability to hydrolysis by  $\beta$ lactamase. Most of these compounds have a short terminal half-life,  $\leq 1$  h. However, some second-, third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins possess longer half-lives. Their excretion occurs mainly through glomerular filtration, but also through tubular secretion [3].

The chemical structures of the examined cephalosporins are shown in Fig. 1.

Cefadroxil and cephalexin are first-generation cephalosporin antibiotics. Cefaclor is a second-generation cephalosporin while cefataxime is a thirdgeneration cephalosporin.

Various methods and reviews have been published covering the analysis of cephalosporins in biological matrices and pharmaceuticals. Among the published methods of determination of cephalosporins TLC, GC, HPLC, CE, and microbiological assays are available. Microbiological techniques lack sensitivity Fig. 1. Structures of cephalosporins investigated. and specificity. HPLC methods have been described for the determination of cephalosporins in biological fluids using different stationary phases, mobile Only two of the reported methods in literature phases with different buffer systems, mostly phos- determine simultaneously the four examined cephphates or ion pairing agents, detection mode, e.g., alosporins among other antibiotics, though only in UV and electrochemical and sample preparation pharmaceutical formulations [2,8]. The other pubprocedures [2,4–11,13]. Derivatization process for lished methods are determining one or some of the cefotaxime determination has been also reported four examined compounds. Best sensitivity in phar- [12]. maceuticals is achieved with electrochemical de-



Cefotaxime sodium







Cefaclor



## Cephalexin

tection in the range of ppb levels; however, analysis All evaporations were performed with a nine-port

ing SPE requires high sample volumes: 5 ml of ing wavelength of detection were taken using a serum and 2.5–10 ml of urine [7]. Varian DMS 100S UV–Vis double-beam spec-

Since numerous new molecules belonging to this trophotometer. group of antibiotics are continuously being de- UV spectra comparison was performed by SPDveloped, pharmacokinetic studies are necessary for a M6A Shimadzu photodiode array UV–Vis detector better understanding of their activity. Clinical re-<br>complied with Data acquisition software Classsearch and therapeutic drug monitoring in routine M10A. The mobile phase was prepared by mixing hospital use clearly indicate the need for a fast and sodium acetate–acetic acid buffer, pH 4.0, solution reliable method. and method in a ratio of  $78:22 \, (v/v)$ . The flow-rate

determination of cephalexin, cefadroxil, cefaclor and cefataxim, in pharmaceutical formulations and biological fluids such as blood serum and urine is 2 .2. *Chemicals and reagents* described here, requiring small volumes of biological fluids. The method gives good separation and is Cefotaxime sodium salt and cephalexin hydrate sensitive and linear over a wide concentration range. were purchased from Fluka Chemie (Buchs, Switzer-

used to deliver the mobile phase to the analytical purchased from Analytichem, A division of Varian column, Spherisorb ODS-2  $250\times4$  mm, 5  $\mu$ m (Harbor City, USA). Other SPE cartridges examined purchased by MZ Analysentechnik (Mainz, Ger- are Discovery by Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA), many). Sample injection was performed via a Rheo- OASIS HLB by Waters (Waters Corporation, MA, dyne 7125 injection valve (Rheodyne, Cotati, CA, USA) and Adsorbex C-8 by Merck. USA) with a  $20-\mu$ l loop. Detection was achieved by Commercial cefotaxime, and cefaclor pharmaceuan SSI 500 UV–Vis detector (SSI, State College, PA, ticals were purchased from a local market. Ceclor a USA) at a wavelength of 265 nm and a sensitivity product by Eli Lilly & Co. (Indianapolis, IN, USA) setting of 0.002 AUFS. A Hewlett-Packard (Avon- in tablets and oral suspension was manufactured and dale, PA, USA) HP3396 Series II integrator was used packed by Vianex (Patras, Greece). Tablets contained for quantitative determination of eluted peaks. A 500 mg of cefaclor as monohydrate while suspension glass vacuum-filtration apparatus obtained from All- after dilution to water provided a solution of 125 tech Associates was employed for the filtration of the mg/5 ml. Claforan a product of Hoechst Marion buffer solution, using 0.2- $\mu$ m membrane filters ob-<br>Roussel (Rommainville, France), is formulated as tained from Schleicher & Schuell (Dassel, Ger- dry powder to be diluted in 2 ml of water, containing many). Degassing of solvents was achieved by 0.524 g cefotaxime sodium salt corresponding to 0.5 helium sparging before use. Dissolution of com- g i.m/i.v.of cefotaxime is manufactured and packed pounds was enhanced by sonication in a Transonic by Vianex SA (Metamorfosi-Attikis, Greece). 460/H Ultrasonic bath (Elma, Germany). A Glass- Serum samples were kindly provided from the col, Terre Haute 47802 small vortexer and a Hermle Blood Donation Unity of a State Hospital. centrifuge, model Z 230 (B. Hermle, Gosheim, Urine samples for calibration curve construction Germany) were employed for the sample pre-treat- and recovery assay were taken from healthy volment. unteers.

time is more than 20 min [2]. Reacti-Vap evaporator (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). One method reported on biological samples avoid- UV spectra of cephalosporins for selecting the work-

A simple, rapid assay method for the simultaneous was  $1.2 \text{ ml/min}$ . The injection volume was  $20 \text{ µl}$ .

land). Cefaclor, cefadroxil and hydrochlorothiazide (internal standard) were supplied from Sigma (St. **2. Experimental** Louis, MO, USA). Methanol and acetonitrile and acetic acid were supplied from Merck (Darmstadt, 2 .1. *Instrumentation and chromatography* Germany), while sodium acetate was from Riedelde-Haen (Seelze, Germany).

A Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan) LC-10AD pump was Diol and RP-8 SPE BondElut cartridges were

# 2 .3. *Standard solutions* 2 .4.3. *Stability*

sporin were prepared by dissolving an accurate trations at room temperature. From blood sampling water. Working standard solutions were freshly pre- composition. pared in deionized water at concentrations 0.01, 0.05, The stability of cephalosporins was verified by 0.1, 0.5, 1, 3 and 5  $\text{ng/}\mu\text{I}$  for cefotaxime, up to 8 storing sample solutions refrigerated for 6 months.  $ng/\mu$  for cefadroxil, up to 12 ng/ $\mu$  for cefaclor and Concentrations were measured once a week. up to 35 ng/ $\mu$ l for cephalexine.

A 20-µl aliquot was injected onto the column. 2.5. *Pharmaceuticals sample preparation* Quantitative analysis was based on peak area measurements as ratios towards the peak area of internal 2.5.1. *Capsules* standard. A number of commercial capsules were finely

healthy volunteers was spiked from the stock ceph- weighed portion of the pooled sample equivalent to alosporin solutions to attain concentrations of 1, 2, 3, the antibiotic content of one capsule 0.5680 g, was 5 and 8  $\text{ng}/\mu$ l.

fold diluted with water and filtered using 0.2- $\mu$ m dilution provided solutions containing the following filters. Aliquots from this sample were spiked from concentrations 1.0, 2.0 and 4.0 ng/ $\mu$ l. the stock cephalosporin solutions to attain concentrations of 1, 2, 3, 5 and 8 ng/ $\mu$ l. 2.5.2. *Oral suspension* 

sensitivity and specificity, precision and accuracy, linearity and stability. 2 .5.3. *Sterile powder for injection*

investigated by the analysis of six different blank volume with water. Aliquots of the prepared solution matrices. were suitably diluted to provide three solutions at

achieved by replicate injections of standard solutions HCT at a concentration of 2.0 ng/ $\mu$ l. Aliquots of 20 at low, medium and high concentration levels, where  $\mu$  were injected onto the HPLC analytical column. peak areas were measured in comparison to the peak area of the internal standard. 2 .6. *Sample extraction procedure*

Intermediate precision study (day-to-day reproducibility) was conducted during routine operation of Five different sorbents were assayed for the

Problems of stability are usually encountered with Separate stock standard solutions of each cephalo-<br>these compounds, mainly affecting plasma concenweight of 10 mg for each compound in 100 ml to analysis, storage in the freezer eliminates de-

Pooled human drug-free serum obtained from powdered in a porcelain mortar and an accurately A pooled human drug-free urine sample was 100- dissolved and diluted to volume with water. Further

Granules are diluted to 60 ml providing a con-2 .4. *Method validation* centration of 125 mg/5 ml. Aliquots of the prepared suspension were suitably diluted with water to Method validation was performed in terms of provide three solutions at 1.25, 2.0 and 5.0 ng/ $\mu$ l.

The total content of one package of the injection 2 .4.1. *Specificity and selectivity* in dosage form of sterile powder was transferred into The interference from endogenous compounds was a 1000-ml volumetric flask, dissolved and diluted to 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 ng/ $\mu$ l.

2 .4.2. *Precision and accuracy* All working solutions originated from pharma-Method validation regarding reproducibility was ceutical formulations contained the internal standard

the system over a period of nine consecutive days. extraction of the investigated cephalosporins: Oasis Statistical evaluation revealed relative standard de-<br>
HLB (60 mg) by Waters,  $C_{18}$  (500 mg) Discovery by<br>
viations at different values for six injections.<br>
Supelco, Adsorbex RP-8 by Merck, and RP-8 and Supelco, Adsorbex RP-8 by Merck, and RP-8 and Within-day repeatability was studied by eight 2-OH Bondelut by Varian. Up to 20 different exreplicate measurements at three concentration levels. traction protocols were assayed using different washing and eluting solvents. Optimum extraction protocol in terms of highest extraction efficiency is described in Fig. 2. Washing step was avoided as a significant analytes loss was noticed during this stage. Recovery was enhanced when a serial elution with 1 ml of methanol followed by 1 ml of acetonitrile was performed. Optimal extraction protocol was then applied to standards solutions of cephalosporins.

# 2 .7. *Serum sample preparation*

Aliquots of 40  $\mu$ l human blood serum were spiked with  $100 \mu l$  of cephalosporin solutions at different concentration levels and treated with  $200 \mu l$  of  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  in order to precipitate proteins. After vortex mixing for 2 min, the sample was centrifuged at 800 *g* for 15 min and the supernatant was evaporated, at  $45^{\circ}$ C, under nitrogen stream, to remove organic solvents. Subsequently the sample was quantitatively transferred and slowly applied to the solid-

# **SPE PROTOCOL FOR SERUM**



Fig. 2. SPE protocol for cephalosporins recovery from serum samples.



Fig. 3. High-performance liquid chromatogram of cephalosporins: cefadroxil (2.334 min), cefotaxime (4.348 min), cefaclor (5.274 min), cephalexin (6.628 min) with hydrochlorothiazide 2 ng/ $\mu$ l, 2.958 min, as internal standard. Chromatographic conditions are described in text.

Compound	Retention times mean value $\pm$ SD (min)	<b>RSD</b> $(\%)$	<b>LOD</b> $(ng/\mu l)$	LOO $(ng/\mu l)$	Upper limit $(ng/\mu l)$	Regression equation	R
Cefadroxil	$2.326 \pm 0.050$	2.2	0.01	0.03	8	$Y = (0.12626 \pm 0.00893) + (0.01060 \pm 0.00010)X$	0.9999
Cefotaxime	$4.370 \pm 0.121$	2.8	0.005	0.015		$Y = (0.02202 \pm 0.04381) + (0.02398 \pm 0.00074)X$	0.9995
Cefaclor	$5.264 \pm 0.139$	2.6	0.005	0.015	12	$Y = (0.00883 \pm 0.01689) + (0.01225 \pm 0.00016)X$	0.9998
Cephalexin	$6.669 \pm 0.190$	2.8	0.01	0.03	35	$Y = (-0.01310 \pm 0.02567) + (0.01127 \pm 0.00027)X$	0.9994

Table 1 Retention times, calibration data and sensitivity of cephalosporins determination using HCT as internal standard

*Y*, peak area ratio; *X*, ng.

the procedure described under solid-phase extraction paragraph. **and adding the appropriate concentrations of standard** 

ples are analyzed after cephalosporins administra- into the HPLC, analyzed and chromatographed under the conditions described above. tion. In this case the reconstitution should be made to 40 ml in order to avoid analyte dilution.

and filtered using 0.2-µm filters. A subsequent 1:1 after each collection. A portion of the sample was

phase cartridge, which was preconditioned with internal standard solution, at a concentration of 4 methanol and water.  $ng/\mu l$  in order to provide the desired final con-The sample was subsequently treated according to centration of 2 ng/ $\mu$ l. Spiked urine drug-free sam-<br>e procedure described under solid-phase extraction ples were also prepared in the same manner by The same procedure can be followed when sam-<br>solutions. Aliquots from these samples were injected<br>as are analyzed after cenhalosporins administra-<br>into the HPLC, analyzed and chromatographed under

# 2 .8.1. *Ceclor urine samples*

2.8. *Urine sample preparation* Urine samples were collected after 30 min, 45 min and 2 and 3 h of Ceclor administration to a patient. Urine samples were initially at a volume ratio 1:50 The volume of the urine was measured and recorded dilution was performed by adding equal volume of filtered and suitably diluted with internal standard

Table 2

Day-to-day (over a period of nine consecutive days) and within-day  $(n=8)$  precision and accuracy study for determination of cephalosporins

Added (ng)	Within-day			Day-to-day			
	Found $\pm$ SD (ng)	<b>RSD</b>	Relative error %	Found $\pm$ SD (ng)	<b>RSD</b>	Relative error %	
Cefadroxil							
10	$10.1 \pm 0.5$	4.9	1.1	$9.8 \pm 0.7$	6.9	$-1.9$	
20	$19.1 \pm 0.2$	1.2	$-4.5$	$19.5 \pm 1.3$	6.6	$-2.6$	
100	$99.9 \pm 0.8$	0.8	$-0.1$	$101.2 \pm 2.4$	1.9	1.2	
Cefotaxime							
10	$10.0 \pm 0.3$	3.1	0.1	$9.9 \pm 0.5$	4.6	$-1.0$	
20	$18.3 \pm 0.1$	0.6	$-8.5$	$18.7 \pm 0.04$	0.2	$-6.6$	
100	$99.6 \pm 2.4$	2.4	$-0.4$	$101.3 \pm 2.0$	1.9	1.3	
Cefaclor							
12	$12.1 \pm 0.6$	4.5	0.7	$12.4 \pm 0.3$	2.6	3.4	
24	$24.0 \pm 0.3$	1.3	$-0.2$	$23.8 \pm 0.7$	2.9	$-0.6$	
120	$122.2 \pm 1.0$	0.8	1.8	$123.5 \pm 3.2$	2.6	2.9	
Cephalexin							
9.6	$9.9 \pm 0.5$	5.1	3.0	$10.0 \pm 0.2$	2.4	4.2	
19.2	$18.4 \pm 0.2$	1.4	$-4.3$	$19.1 \pm 0.7$	3.6	$-0.3$	
96	$96.0 \pm 1.4$	1.5	0.0	$98.2 \pm 3.0$	3.1	2.3	

HPLC in triplicate for each solution and chromato- summarized in Table 1. graphed under the conditions described above. Cefaclor levels were calculated using the calibration 3 .2. *Method validation* curve for urine samples.

A chromatogram obtained using the developed ranged from 0.9994 to 0.9999. methods conditions is illustrated in Fig. 3. Resolution Table 2 summarizes the results of the method factors ranged from 1.54 to 2.78 indicating satisfac- validation regarding accuracy, within-day and day-

solution. A  $20-\mu l$  volume was injected into the Retention time precision for a  $10$ -day period is

## 3 .2.1. *Linearity and sensitivity*

Calibration curves were obtained by least-squares linear regression analysis of the peak area ratio of **3. Results and discussion** analyte/internal standard versus analyte concentration. The method was linear up to 8, 5, 12 and 35 3.1. *Chromatography* ng/ml for cefadroxil, cefotaxime, cefaclor and cephalexin, respectively. Correlation coefficients

tory separation of resolved analytes. to-day precision assays. The measured concentra-





centration that produced a signal-to-noise ratio great- The reproducibility (day-to-day variation) of the er than three. Respective LOD values for each method was established using the same concentration compound based upon this criterion are shown in range as above. A triplicate determination of each Table 1. These values were observed for 10 samples. concentration was conducted during routine opera-The limit of quantitation of the assay was evaluated tion of the system over a period of nine consecutive as the concentration equal to 10 times the value of days. Reproducibility results are illustrated in Table the signal-to-noise ratio and found to be 0.3 ng for 2. cefotaxime and cefaclor and 0.6 ng for cefadroxil Accuracy was determined by replicate analysis of and cephalexin. three different levels  $(1.0, 2.0 \text{ and } 5.0 \text{ ng}/\mu\text{l})$ .

## 3 .2.2. *Precision and accuracy*

The precision of the method based on within-day Relative Error  $(\%)$  = repeatability was performed, by replicate injections<br>  $(n=8)$  of three standard solutions covering different<br>
concentration levels: low, medium and high, where<br>
neak areas were measured in comparison to the peak  $\times 100$ peak areas were measured, in comparison to the peak

tions had RSD values  $\leq 7\%$ , with relative error area of the internal standard. Statistical evaluation (inaccuracy) in the range of  $-6.6$  to  $4.2\%$ . revealed relative standard deviations, at different The LOD was defined as the compound con- values. Results are shown in Table 2.

Expressed as relative error can be calculated by the equation:

аΓ	າເ	

Results of cephalosporins determination in commercial pharmaceuticals



Found<sup>b</sup> (g)  $0.42 \pm 0.01$ 

a Mean of six measurements.

 $b$  Mean of six measurements  $\times$  three different concentrations.





<sup>a</sup> Conditioning: CH<sub>3</sub>OH–acetate buffer.<br><sup>b</sup> No washing.

 $\text{``Washington: } CH_3OH-H_2O$  (10:90, v/v).

<sup>d</sup> Washing: CH<sub>3</sub>OH–H<sub>2</sub>O (2:98, v/v).

<sup>e</sup> Elution with CH<sub>3</sub>CN.<br><sup>f</sup> Washing: CH<sub>3</sub>OH–H<sub>2</sub>O (5:95, v/v).

<sup>8</sup> Elution by a mixture of CH<sub>3</sub>OH–CH<sub>3</sub>CN (50:50, v/v). <sup>h</sup> Serial elution by CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CH<sub>3</sub>CN. No washing.

periods up to 6 months for all examined compounds urine samples can be stored at  $4^{\circ}C$  for subsequent except for cephalexin that was found to be stable for HPLC analysis. In deep-frozen biological fluids all except for cephalexin that was found to be stable for HPLC analysis. In deep-frozen biological fluids all<br>5 months. Also eluted samples from SPE columns compounds were found to be stable for 5–6 months. 5 months. Also eluted samples from SPE columns

3.2.3. *Stability* dried on the same day were found to be stable when<br>Standard solutions stored at 4 °C were stable for stored at 4 °C for 2 weeks. Thus extracted serum and Standard solutions stored at 4 °C were stable for stored at 4 °C for 2 weeks. Thus extracted serum and riods un to 6 months for all examined compounds urine samples can be stored at 4 °C for subsequent

Table 5 Recovery of cephalosporins from human blood serum and urine

Serum			Urine			
Added (ng)	Found (ng) $\pm$ SD	Recovery $(\% )$	Added (ng)	Found (ng) $\pm$ SD	Recovery (% )	
Cefadroxil						
40	$37.4 \pm 0.01$	93.4				
60	$61.8 \pm 4.3$	103.0				
100	$99.2 \pm 3.1$	99.2				
Cefotaxime						
40	$35.1 \pm 0.5$	87.7	40	$38.3 \pm 0.6$	95.7	
60	$62.8 \pm 0.2$	104.7	60	$59.1 \pm 0.5$	98.4	
100	$100.2 \pm 0.7$	100.1	88	$87.9 \pm 0.5$	99.9	
Cefaclor						
44.8	$45.9 \pm 1.8$	102.5	44.8	$43.2 \pm 0.6$	96.6	
67.2	$68.8 \pm 1.4$	102.4	67.2	$65.3 \pm 0.5$	97.1	
112	$85.5 \pm 0.8$	76.3	89.6	$85.2 \pm 0.5$	95.1	
Cephalexin						
40	$39.9 \pm 2.4$	99.8	40	$34.3 \pm 0.7$	86.0	
60	$67.2 \pm 1.1$	112.0	60	$61.4 \pm 0.6$	102.2	
100	$107.5 \pm 1.0$	107.5	100	$79.2 \pm 0.7$	99.0	

# 3 .3. *Analysis of pharmaceuticals*

High-performance liquid chromatograms of cephalosporins in pharmaceutical formulations are shown in Fig. 4. The experimental results from these analyses are given in Table 3.

## 3 .4. *Solid*-*phase extraction*

Recovery rates determined by comparing observed analyte concentration in extracted sample to those of non-processed standard solutions, using different sorbents and elution protocols are summarised in Table 4. As shown in this table hydrophilic and lipophilic balanced sorbents octadecyl silica and octyl silica sorbents provide low recoveries, while diol sorbent presents higher efficiency in cephalosporin extraction.

Percentage recovery values higher than 100% are due to carryover effect as SPE cartridges were sometimes reused.

Washing the sorbent with water or mixtures of water with a low percentage of methanol leaded to low recoveries indicating the loss of analytes. This was verified with the analysis of washing step eluant after evaporation to dryness. High concentrations of cephalosporins were measured. When no washing step was involved higher recovery rates were ob-

methanol and 1.5 ml of acetonitrile instead of using text. Peak at 2.974 min is the internal standard hydrochlo-<br>
2 ml of a 50.50 (y/y) mixture was noticed to provide the internal standard model internal standard model in

The precision and accuracy studies of SPE of cephalosporins from biological samples were conducted by spiking blood serum samples, with three known concentrations of the compounds and then by endogenous peak appearing at 5.212 min, close to comparing obtained results, with those as calculated the retention time of cefachlor has been identified to from regression equations of SPE processed samples. be paracetamol. Identification was performed by UV Regression equations revealed correlation coeffi-<br>spectra comparison using a photodiode array deteccients ranging between 0.9991 and 0.9998. Results tor. High-performance liquid chromatogram of cephof recovery studies for serum samples are given in alosporins extracted from human blood serum is Table 5. Each value represents the mean of six shown in Fig. 5b. Relative recovery for serum was measurements carried out. A blank chromatogram of determined at three different concentrations by comhuman blood serum is illustrated in Fig. 5a. The paring the peak area ratios for extracted cephalospor-



tained.<br> **Fig. 5. (a)** High-performance liquid chromatogram of blank<br> **As shown in Table 4 serial elution with 1.5 ml of** human blood serum after SPE using the conditions described in human blood serum after SPE using the conditions described in text. Peak at 2.974 min is the internal standard hydrochlo-3 ml of a 50:50  $(v/v)$  mixture was noticed to provide<br>enhanced z ng/ $\mu$ . Peak at 4.000 min is an endogenous non-<br>enhanced recoveries.<br>High-performance liquid chromatogram of cephalosporins: cefadroxil (2.325 min), cefotaxime (4.343 min), cefaclor (5.169 min), 3 .4.1. *Biological fluids*: *human blood serum and* cephalexin (6.566 min) with hydrochlorothiazide 2 ng/ml, 2.966 min, as internal standard, in spiked human blood serum after SPE<br>The gracities and essential standard and the conditions described in text.

ins from serum and the respective values derived liquid chromatogram of cefaclor in urine after Ceclor from the serum calibration curve.  $\blacksquare$  administration.

Urine samples were directly analyzed without SPE. The samples were simply diluted and filtered before analysis. Calibration curve was constructed by **4. Conclusion** spiking pooled drug-free urine sample.

cephalosporins in spiked human urine is shown in in pharmacokinetic studies. Fig. 6b. The quantitation of cefadroxil in urine was The aim of this study was to develop a simple, fast impaired by interferences caused by an unidentified and sensitive method for the simultaneous determi-

A blank chromatogram of urine sample is shown Monitoring of the antibiotics concentration in in Fig. 6a. High-performance liquid chromatogram of biological fluids such as serum and urine is important

metabolite. Fig. 6c illustrates the high-performance nation of four cephalosporin antibiotic agents in



Fig. 6. (a) High-performance liquid chromatogram of blank urine after dilution using the conditions described in text. Peaks at 3.466 and 4.815 min are from endogenous non-interfering compounds. (b) High-performance liquid chromatogram of cephalosporins: cefadroxil (2.340 min), cefataxime (4.375 min), cefaclor (5.311 min), cephalexin (6.682 min) with hydrochlorothiazide 2 ng/ $\mu$ l, 2.973 min, as internal standard in spiked urine sample after dilution using the conditions described in text. (c) High-performance liquid chromatogram of cefaclor (5.340 min), with hydrochlorothiazide 2 ng/ $\mu$ l, 3.050 min, as internal standard in urine sample of a patient after Ceclor administration. Conditions are described in text.

pharmaceuticals and biological fluids. Though sion and accuracy with RSD <7. Reduced sample simultaneous dosing of the four compounds is un-<br>volumes of biological samples compared to those likely and formulations usually contain only one as reported in literature render the method applicable in active ingredient, the simultaneous determination is subjects of any age. useful for routine application in the clinical labora- Paracetamol when present was noticed to interfere tory as with a single sample preparation protocol the in serum analysis, while the quantitation of cefadroxil analyst can monitor any of the studied analytes. in urine was impaired by interferences caused by an

As cephalosporins are rather unstable compounds unidentified metabolite. and their stability in solutions depends on several factors as temperature or pH of the solution, timeconsuming procedures for their determination must **References** be avoided to minimize drug decomposition.

None of the reported methods is referred to the<br>analysis of the four cephalosporins described in this<br>paper. There are two papers in literature determining<br>[2] E. Yun, A. Prince, J. McMillin, L. Welch, J. Chromatogr. B the four members of this antibiotic group among 712 (1998) 145. others, however these papers apply the developed [3] F. Pehourcq, C. Jarry, J. Chromatogr. A 812 (1998) 159.<br>method only to pharmaceuticals [2,81] Besides LOO [4] A. El-Gindy, A. El Walily, M. Bedair, J. Pharm. Biomed. method only to pharmaceuticals  $[2,8]$ . Besides LOQ [4] A. El-Gindy, A. El V values reported are in the  $\mu$ g levels much higher than [5] L. Gallo-Martinez, A. Sevillano-Cabeza, P. Campins-Falco, F. Bosch-Reig, Anal. Chim. Acta 370 (1998) 115.

The method described herein is a simple validated [6] F. Jehl, P. Birckel, H. Monteil, J. Chromatogr. 413 (1987) assay that can readily be used in any laboratory for 109. the quantitative determination of cephalosporins: [7] H. Fabre, M.D. Blanchin, W. Kok, Analyst 113 (1988) 651.<br>
cefadroxil, cefaclor, cefotaxime and cephalexin in [8] S. Ting, J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 71 (6) (1988) 1123. used in literature are avoided. No ion pairing agent is [10] M. Abdel-Hamid, Farmaco 53 (1998) 132. needed such as it is often used for the analysis of [11] S. Coran, M. Bambagiotti-Alberti, V. Giannellini, A. Baldi, these compounds. Sample preparation time for the G. Picchioni, F. Paoli, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 18 (1998) using is minimal since no SDE is required. For serum 271. urine is minimal since no SPE is required. For serum<br>samples sample pre-treatment step involving SPE [12] L. Gallo-Martinez, P. Campins-Falco, A. Sevillano-Cabeza,<br>can be accelerated by using a SPE manifold. The [13] T. Sc assay procedures are simple with satisfactory preci- 750 (2001) 171.

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-